# **Introduction to Intelligent Systems** Final exam

### 1) (1 pt) Statistical decision theory

Assume that you are given a set of 100 000 binary feature vectors, each of which is a binary code of the iris pattern of a person. The set contains 100 iris codes of each of 1000 persons. Describe how you would use this data to design an authentication system based on statistical decision theory.

### 2) (1 pt) Dendrogram

Construct a dendrogram for the one-dimensional data  $D = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 14\}$ . As a distance between two clusters  $D_i$  and  $D_j$  use the minimum distance between a point from  $D_i$  and a point from  $D_j$ , for all possible pairs of such points. The distance between two data points is defined as the absolute value of the difference of their values.

## 3) (1pt) A two-category problem

Consider the following multiset (bag) S of labeled single-feature patterns in a two-category problem. Each pattern has the form (f|w) where f is a feature that can take one of the following values: A, B, C, D, while w is a category label that can take the values  $w_1$  or  $w_2$ :

$$S = \{ (A|w_1), (A|w_1), (B|w_1), (A|w_1), (B|w_1), (C|w_1), (B|w_1), (A|w_2), (C|w_2), (C|w_2), (D|w_2), (D|w_2), (C|w_2), (C|w_2) \} \}$$

- a) Compute the misclassification impurity of S.
- b) Split S in two subsets L and R using the following query: Q1: Put a pattern (f|w) in L if f = A, otherwise put it in R. Compute the impurity reduction achieved by this split.
- c) Split S in two subsets L and R using the following query: Q2: Put a pattern (f|w) in L if f = B, otherwise put it in R. Compute the impurity reduction achieved by this split.
- d) Which of the two queries Q1 and Q2 would you use for buildung a decision tree? Why?

## 4) (1.5 pt) Edge Detection.

Consider a grey-value image f.

**a.** (0.5pt) Sobel gradients in the image in horizontal (easterly) direction can be detected by linear filtering using the filter kernel (or mask) in Fig. 1 (left). Give the Sobel kernel to detect gradients in northerly direction.

**b.** (1 pt) A discrete second derivative filter in the x-direction  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  is defined by convolution with the kernel in Fig. 1(right). If image f is constant, the result of this filter will be zero in every pixel. Show by calculation that the result for an image  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bx + c$ , is -2a for each pixel with a, b, c constants. (Hint: just fill in the equation for discrete convolution for **one** arbitrary point (x, y))

-1	0	1	0	0	0
-2	0	2	-1	2	-1
-1	0	1	0	0	0

**Figure 1**: Convolution masks for the Sobel x-gradient filter (left) and the second-order x-derivative filter (right).

5) (1.5 pt) Thresholding.

Consider the problem of thresholding a grey-level image f in which background and objects might vary in intensity.

- a. (0.5pt) Describe the difference between global and local thresholding. Which approach would you use in the above case and why?
- **b.** (0.5pt) Describe the principle of histogram-based thresholding in the case of a bi-modal grey-level histogram. Give advantages and disadvantages of this approach.
- **b.** (0.5pt) RATS uses the following statistic to compute a threshold in a region:

$$T = \frac{\sum_{(x,y)\in W} w(x,y)f(x,y)}{\sum_{(x,y)\in W} w(x,y)}$$
(1)

~

which is a weighted average of grey levels in the window W. What kind of operator is used as weight function w, and how do they deal with the presence of noise?

### 6) (1 pt) Distance measures

Consider an LVQ classifier with two prototypes in two dimensions, i.e. inputs  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Assume the prototypes representing class 1 and 2 are located in  $\boldsymbol{w}^{(1)} = (0,1)^{\top}$  and  $\boldsymbol{w}^{(2)} = (1,0)^{\top}$ , respectively. Give an analytical expression for the decision boundary and sketch the classification scheme graphically for the following distance measures: a) squared Euclidean distance, i.e.

$$d(oldsymbol{w}^{(j)},oldsymbol{\xi}) = ig(oldsymbol{w}^{(j)}-oldsymbol{\xi}ig)^2$$

b) modified Euclidean distance with global relevances, i.e.

$$d(\boldsymbol{w}^{(j)}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_i \left( w_i^{(j)} - \xi_i \right)^2 \text{ for } \lambda_1 = 0.8, \lambda_2 = 0.2$$

c) modified Euclidean distance with local relevances, i.e.

$$d(\boldsymbol{w}^{j},\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_{i}^{(j)} \left( w_{i}^{(j)} - \xi_{i} \right)^{2} \text{ for } \lambda_{1}^{(1)} = 0.8, \lambda_{2}^{(1)} = 0.2 \text{ and } \lambda_{1}^{(2)} = \lambda_{2}^{(2)} = 0.5$$

# 7) (1 pt) VQ and LVQ

Here you can restrict the discussion to the use of Euclidean distances and consider systems with two N-dim. prototypes  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ .

- a) Consider a data set  $D = \{\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu}\}_{\mu=1}^{P}$  containing *N*-dim. feature vectors  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu}$ . Explain the unsupervised competitive learning algorithm (Vector Quantization) discussed in class, for example in a few lines of *pseudo-code*. Which is the main difference in comparison with the *K*-means algorithm?
- **b)** Now consider a set  $D = \{\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu}, S^{\mu}\}_{\mu=1}^{P}$  containing *N*-dim. feature vectors  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mu}$  and labels  $S^{\mu} \in \{1, 2\}$ . Explain the supervised Learning Vector Quantization algorithm (LVQ1) discussed in class, for example in a few lines of *pseudo-code*.

# 8) (1 pt) Overfitting

Explain in your own words the problem of *overfitting*. Refer to the simple example of curve-fitting, where a polynomial is fitted to noisy data points. Explain also the meaning of the terms bias and variance in this context. In Learning Vector Quantization, which parameter would play a similar role as the degree of the polynomial fit?